## Writing Component #1: Argument (Partitions and Thesis)

4	Makes a <b>nistorically detensible</b> claim that <b>answers all parts</b> of the prompt								
		Responds to the proper <b>historical thinking skill</b> rather than							
		simply restating or rephrasing the prompt							
	•	Partitions explains organization/themes of the essay and							
		examines all sides of an argument							
	•	<b>Thesis</b> (for CCOT and compare/contrast prompts) explains							
		HOW and WHY							
		o Why the similar and/or difference occurs; or							
		o Why the continuity and/or change occurs							
	•	Partition and/or thesis should address <b>nuance/complexity</b> of							
		the prompt							
		o Compare AND contrast; or							
		o Continuity AND change; or							
_		o Cause AND effect							
3	•	Makes a <b>historically defensible</b> claim that answers all parts of the prompt							
		Responds to the proper <b>historical thinking skill</b> rather than							
	•	simply restating or rephrasing the prompt							
		Simply restacing or replicasing the prompt							
		AND							
		Meets TWO of the criteria listed above							
2									
_	•	the prompt							
	•	Responds to the proper <b>historical thinking skill</b> rather than							
		simply restating or rephrasing the prompt							
		AND							
	•	Meets ONE of the criteria listed above							
1	•	Makes a <b>historically defensible</b> claim that answers all parts of							
		the prompt							
	•	Responds to the proper <b>historical thinking skill</b> rather than							
		simply restating or rephrasing the prompt							
0	•	Does not make a historically defensible claim							
Fa		urt annu urault au tha fallaudan							
For the next essay work on the following:									

## Writing Component#2: Contextualization

4	Describes broader historical context relevant to the argument being					
	made. Contextualization must be present in ALL paragraphs					
	(introduction and body paragraphs) and should do <b>ALL</b> of the following:					
	Contain AT LEAST 3-4 sentences in introduction that sets					
	the stage of the time period and/or theme of the essay and					
	extends the claim being made in the thesis					
	<ul> <li>Provide context in body paragraphs by answering the proper</li> </ul>					
	WHY QUESTION:					
	o Why does the continuity/change occur; or					
	o Why does the similarity/difference occur; or					
	o What is the "cause of the cause"					
	<ul> <li>Consist of AT LEAST 3-4 sentences in body paragraphs that</li> </ul>					
	answers the appropriate "why question"					
	<ul> <li>Use a specific piece of factual information to support the</li> </ul>					
	context in body paragraphs. Evidence cannot be "double					
	dipped" with outside information.					
	Please make sure to label contextualization					
3	Describes broader historical context and extends the claim being made in					
	the thesis. Contextualization is present in ALL but one of the					
	paragraphs (introduction and body paragraphs) and meets the criteria					
	listed above.					
2	Describes broader historical context and extends the claim being made in					
	the thesis. Contextualization is present in one of the paragraphs					
	(introduction or body paragraphs) and meets the criteria list above.					
1	Provides broader historical context relevant to the argument being made.					
	Contextualization is present in AT LEAST one of the paragraphs					
	(introduction or body paragraphs) and meets <b>SOME</b> of the criteria listed					
	above.					
0	<ul> <li>Does not provide contextualization in intro or body paragraphs</li> </ul>					
	OR					
	<ul> <li>Essay attempts to provide context; however, attempt does not</li> </ul>					
	provide broader historical context relevant to the prompt or					
	argument being made.					
	u. 3 u					

Co	Contextualization / Work on the following:					
1						

# Writing Component #3: Use of Outside Evidence/Specific Factual Information (for LEQ)

4	Accurately identifies and explains 6-8 pieces of specific factual				
	information that support the argument being made.				
3	Accurately identifies and explains <b>4-5 pieces of specific factual</b>				
	<b>information</b> that support the argument being made.				
2	Accurately identifies and explains 2-3 piece of specific factual				
	information that supports the argument being made.				
1	Identifies and explains 1 pieces of specific factual information.				
	Explanation may contain minor errors or may not explain how the piece				
	of information supports the argument.				
0	Does not accurately identify or explain any information that supports the				
	argument being made.				

Outside Evidence Used / Work on the following:								

## Component 1 = 4 opportunities

- 100 = three 3 or 4
- 90 = two 3 or 4
- 70 = one 3 or 4
- 60 = attempted all
- 50 = attempted four
- 0 = does not attempt four

## Components 2 & 3 = 3 opportunities

- 100 = two 3 or 4
- 80 = one 3 or 4
- 60 = attempted all
- 50 = attempted two
- 0 = does not attempt two