



Claim



The First Amendment is somewhat healthy in our digital world because freedom of expression is always important.



Evidence

Paragraph 11 of *The First Amendment and Artificial Intelligence: The Health of our Democracy* says, “That is obviously somewhat chilling for First Amendment Freedoms of people who believe in a right to protest and dissent.”

Reasoning

Students use the First Amendment digitally to protest. Protesting is healthy for people because they can group up and share what they feel.



Counter

Protesting isn't entirely healthy since Social Sentinel, a company used for scanning posts of students that could harm themselves, monitored student protests and their emails and which they shared this information with the police. Despite this, the CEO, J.P. Guilbault, said, “The service does not have the ability to monitor protests.” This was a lie.

**FREE
SPEECH!**

Evidence

Paragraphs 22-24 of *The First Amendment and Artificial Intelligence: The Health of our Democracy* says, “He told Margolis, then the police chief at the University of Vermont in Burlington, about a series of petty thefts, a sexual and a protest planned at the executive offices of the university. “Jimmy, where did we get this information?” he asked. The sergeant sent Margolis to see an overnight dispatcher, who showed him the source — new online, including a website called The Facebook.”

Reasoning

Crimes were revealed in social media. Thanks to this, Social Sentinel was made. Social Sentinel was made to protect the lives of people.

Counter

Even though the intention of Social Sentinel was to protect the lives of people and to avoid people from hurting themselves, paragraph “At least 52 school districts in Texas have adopted Social Sentinel as an additional security measure since 2015, including Uvalde CISD where a gunman killed 19 children and two teachers in May. Social Sentinel wasn’t able to protect the lives of these people even when they were supposed to be monitoring.

