

Criteria	Point Breakdown
Summarize Main Ideas	0–2: Lacks coherence; does not capture central message. 3–5: Partially captures main ideas; limited detail. 6–8: Clear, concise summary of central message. 9–10: Thorough, engaging, highly effective summary.
Use Key Vocabulary Accurately	0–2: Vocabulary incorrect or minimal. 3–5: Some accuracy; inconsistent. 6–8: Consistently accurate in context. 9–10: Seamlessly integrated; deep understanding shown.
Connect Evidence to Real■World Impacts	0–2: Cannot connect scientific data to worker experiences. 3–5: Basic explanation of connection. 6–8: Clear explanation of how data + experiences show risks. 9–10: Comprehensive insight into real■world impacts.
Reflect on Solutions	0–2: Missing or shallow reflection. 3–5: Brief reflection without depth. 6–8: Thoughtful; shows understanding of journalism + policy roles. 9–10: Insightful, comprehensive reflection on solutions.
Overall Score	0–9: Below Expectations 10–19: Approaching Expectations 20–29: Meeting Expectations 30–40: Exceeding Expectations